

September 2002

Summary of alternatives

	Alternative 1 <i>The 1994 Plan</i>	Alternative 2 <i>The Commissioners' 2001 Approach</i>	Alternative 3 <i>No Expansion of Existing Urban Areas</i>	Alternative 4 <i>The Cities' Perspective</i>	Alternative 5 <i>The "Discovery Corridor" Strategy</i>
<i>Total population in 2001 - 359,540</i>					
Additional people accommodated (2001 to 2023)	171,422	126,685	126,685	126,685	126,685
Rural	32,570	24,070	24,070	24,070	24,070
Urban	138,852	102,615	102,615	102,615	102,615
<i>Total number of jobs in 2001 - 118,000</i>					
Additional jobs accommodated (2001 to 2023)	54,882	44,615	44,615	70,000	70,000
Land added for new homes (acres)	21,165	6,519	0	2,134	6,155
Target areas for new development	Expanded urban growth areas especially around Vancouver and Battle Ground	Inside existing and expanded urban growth areas focusing on Vancouver and Battle Ground	Vacant or underused land within current urban growth areas	Expanded urban growth areas mostly around Battle Ground with some around Camas	North Vancouver to southwest Battle Ground
Land added for new jobs (acres)	5,046	1,787	0	8,848	4,979
Target areas for new development	Expanded urban growth areas especially around Vancouver, Battle Ground, and La Center/I-5	Inside existing and expanded urban growth areas focusing on Vancouver and Battle Ground	Vacant or underused land within current urban growth areas	Expanded urban growth areas mostly between Vancouver and Battle Ground with some around Camas	I-5 corridor from Salmon Creek to La Center
Total urban growth area expansion (acres)	26,211	8,306	0	10,982	11,134
Key differences from the other alternatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Higher growth rate of 1.83% versus 1.5%. ■ Current employment patterns continue. ■ New housing consists of 60% single-family and 40% multi-family. Other alternatives reflect a 75/25% target. ■ Average density of 8 homes per acre, compared with about 7.5 in other alternatives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Growth is similar to Alternatives 4 and 5, but planning for jobs is more reflective of current patterns. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Focuses on land already targeted for urban development. ■ The other alternatives include a 25% "market factor" to increase the supply and choice of land for development. They also include a "trigger" to consider urban area expansion when 75% of commercial or residential, or 50% of industrial land, is developed. This alternative includes the "trigger" but not the "market factor." 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Most aggressive approach to planning for jobs, equal to Alternative 5. ■ New jobs concentrated in expanded urban areas noted above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Most aggressive approach to planning for jobs, equal to Alternative 4. ■ New jobs concentrated along I-5.

The maps show more land than would be needed for jobs in each alternative. This will allow flexibility in responding to financial and environmental issues.